

**DETERMINANTS OF DIGITAL PROMOTION INFLUENCING STUDENTS' CHOICE
OF COLLEGE: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS**

Mr. Sumit Gaur

Research Scholar, Invertis University, NH-24, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh (India)

Dr. Manish Gupta

Dean, Faculty of Management, Invertis University, NH-24, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh (India)

Abstract:

This study explores the multifaceted landscape of digital promotion and its influence on students' decisions in selecting a college for their higher education. As the digital realm continues to reshape communication and engagement, understanding the determinants that significantly impact students' choices becomes paramount for higher education institutions. Leveraging a comprehensive approach, this research delves into various digital marketing strategies and their effects on the decision-making process. The study employs a mixed- methods approach, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to gather insights from a diverse sample of prospective students. Key determinants under examination include online presence, content personalization, social media engagement, trust-building strategies, and the overall impact of digital advertising campaigns. Through rigorous data analysis, the research aims to uncover patterns, correlations, and the nuanced interactions between digital marketing determinants and students' perceptions. The study also addresses potential limitations, such as self-reporting bias and external factors, to ensure the integrity and reliability of the findings. The implications of the research extend beyond academic circles to practitioners, providing higher education institutions with actionable insights to refine their digital marketing strategies. As the higher education landscape becomes increasingly competitive, a thorough understanding of the digital promotion determinants influencing students' choices is imperative for colleges to adapt, innovate, and effectively connect with their target audience. This comprehensive analysis contributes to the evolving discourse on the intersection of digital marketing and higher education, offering valuable insights for educators, marketers, and policymakers seeking to navigate the digital landscape and enhance the college selection experience for prospective students.

Keywords: Digital Promotion, College Choice, Online Presence, social media, Content, Digital Advertising, Higher Education.

1. Introduction

Education has immense significance, not just in the present moment but throughout history. Education empowers young minds to think critically and allows them to use their gained knowledge and experience to improve their own lives as well as the lives of others. Over time, there has been a substantial proliferation of educational institutions offering a wide array of courses. As a result, students nowadays have several opportunities to pursue higher education due

to the increased rivalry among institutes and the variety of courses available. Educational institutions that fail to effectively communicate with a wide audience may struggle to recruit talented and promising students, while providing high-quality education, practical training, and outstanding job prospects. Sharing information with the target audience on how the institute may help them achieve their career objectives is quite important. Recently, there has been a noticeable trend in education branding, with schools placing more emphasis on marketing themselves in order to attract a sufficient number of students. Every educational institution must use digital marketing techniques to safeguard its online presence as digitalization permeates across all sectors. The extensive use of online and digital platforms for communication and cooperation has facilitated the marketing, branding, recruitment, and growth of educational institutions. Several educational institutions have established specialized departments and hired top social media marketers to conduct online and social media marketing campaigns, with the aim of reaching students who cannot be reached directly. Consequently, the need for digital and social media marketing emerged as a means for universities to efficiently handle a significant volume of admissions while simultaneously addressing a wide range of potential customers via a single action, without incurring excessive time and financial costs.

The higher education sector has witnessed a significant transformation in recent years, marked by the escalating importance of digital promotion in shaping the landscape of student recruitment and enrollment. The traditional methods of college promotion, such as brochures and physical campus tours, have been augmented, if not replaced, by a dynamic and expansive digital presence. This shift is primarily driven by the changing behavior of prospective students who, in the digital age, seek information, engage with content, and make decisions online (Junco et al., 2011). The proliferation of internet usage, coupled with the ubiquitous presence of social media platforms, has created a virtual space where colleges and universities compete for the attention and interest of their target audience. As highlighted by Huertas, Díaz, and Gutiérrez (2016), digital promotion allows higher education institutions to reach a global audience, breaking down geographical barriers and expanding their visibility to a diverse pool

of prospective students. Moreover, the increasing reliance on digital channels aligns with the preferences of the tech-savvy Generation Z, who prioritize instant access to information and interactive communication (Seemiller & Grace, 2016). Therefore, understanding and leveraging the determinants of effective digital promotion have become imperative for higher education institutions aiming to remain competitive in the evolving landscape of student recruitment. Understanding the determinants that influence students' choices in the context of higher education is of paramount significance for colleges and universities. The decision-making process of prospective students is complex and influenced by various factors, including personal, social, and institutional considerations. As noted by Hossler and Gallagher (1987), the selection of a college is a high-stakes decision, with profound implications for individuals' academic and professional trajectories. In the digital age, where information is abundant and easily accessible, grasping the determinants that sway students' choices becomes critical for institutions aiming to attract and

enroll the right candidates. Research on college choice models, such as the Hossler and Gallagher's model, emphasizes the multifaceted nature of decision-making, encompassing factors such as academic reputation, financial considerations, and the overall fit with institutional offerings (Hossler & Gallagher, 1987). Additionally, understanding the determinants specific to digital promotion, such as the impact of online presence, social media engagement, and personalized content, allows educational institutions to tailor their digital marketing strategies effectively. By comprehending the drivers behind students' choices, higher education institutions can strategically position themselves to address the unique needs and preferences of their target audience, ultimately enhancing their competitiveness in the higher education landscape.

2. Literature Review

There is an increasing amount of research that shows how digital channels have a transformative impact on students' decision-making processes when it comes to college selection, as seen in current studies on the effect of digital marketing. The results of a research by Junco et al. (2011) on the effects of Twitter on college students' involvement and grades provide insight into how social media might shape students' views and interactions with universities. The research also shows that active engagement in online activities is associated with better grades. Looking for digital marketing's role in higher education from a broader perspective is another way to assess its impact. By analyzing existing literature and conducting research on social media in higher education, Huertas et al. (2016) illuminated how educational institutions leverage digital platforms to connect with prospective students globally, transcending geographical barriers and reaching a diverse audience. Taken as a whole, these

studies show that digital advertising does more than just boost brand awareness and sales; it also helps establish rapport with students, influences their mindsets, and, maybe, impacts their performance in the classroom. These findings help fill in the gaps in our knowledge of the intricate web of connections that modern students and universities weave together. The significance of these insights is rising in tandem with the expansion of the digital landscape. Using strategies and methods from social media has become an integral aspect of communication and engagement in higher education. By categorizing different platforms—such as collaborative projects, blogs, content communities, social networking sites, and virtual game worlds—early research by Kaplan and Haenlein (2010) elucidates the revolutionary potential of social media. Keep in mind that this categorization emphasizes the vast array of digital resources available to universities for audience engagement. In order to attract students, social media is crucial (Junco et al., 2011). These channels allow schools to showcase their campus life, academic programs, and alumni achievements in a direct way, which impacts how prospective students perceive and choose their schools. In a study that adds to the current literature, Veletsianos (2012) looks at how social media might be used to increase participation and dialogue in the academic setting. Effective projects need two-way communication, the cultivation of a sense of community, and the distribution of timely and relevant information to a diverse group of stakeholders, including parents, students, and alumni. Lambert

(2012) uncovered some interesting facts about the use of social media in fundraising and alumni connections. Research like this highlights the value of social media as a platform for keeping in touch with alums, promoting networking, and soliciting donations. Pomerantz and Hank (2017) and Kent and Taylor (2016) draw attention to the challenges and ethical concerns associated with social media usage by universities, despite the literature's emphasis on the benefits. Privacy issues, handling criticism, and ensuring inclusive communication techniques are all part of the list of difficulties and factors to think about. It is becoming increasingly critical for higher education to perform ongoing study to enhance tactics, resolve challenges, and ensure the ethical and effective use of social media as it continues to traverse the digital world. Social media platforms have become essential tools for communication and engagement, especially in the realm of marketing for higher education. Colleges may use Facebook as a multi-faceted platform to spread news, build communities, and showcase campus life (Huertas et al., 2016). Among all platforms, it currently has the most users. Due to its primary visual nature, Instagram provides educational institutions with a dynamic platform that allows them to visually portray their brand, engage with students, and take part in storytelling (Alpert, 2017).

Digital marketing has become a cornerstone in influencing consumer behavior, and various determinants contribute to its effectiveness in shaping perceptions and decisions. This literature review delves into key determinants identified in the existing research literature. A compelling online presence is fundamental to digital marketing success. As emphasized by Smith and Chaffey (2013), an engaging website, coupled with a strong presence on various digital platforms, enhances a brand's visibility and accessibility. A positive online presence contributes significantly to creating a favorable first impression, influencing consumer perceptions and trust. The relevance and personalization of content are crucial determinants in digital marketing effectiveness. Research by Li and Kannan (2014) suggests that personalized and tailored content resonates better with consumers. Algorithms that analyze user behavior and preferences contribute to creating customized experiences, fostering engagement and positively influencing consumer decisions. Active engagement on social media platforms is a significant determinant in digital marketing success. According to Mangold and Faulds (2009), social media engagement fosters brand-consumer relationships and enhances brand visibility. Positive interactions on platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram contribute to building brand loyalty and influencing consumer perceptions. Trust and credibility are paramount in digital marketing. Building trust enhances consumer confidence and positively influences decision-making. Dellarocas et al. (2007) discuss the role of online reviews and testimonials in establishing trust, underscoring their impact on consumer perceptions and choices. The effectiveness of digital advertising is a key determinant in reaching and influencing target audiences. Insights from academic research, such as that of De Pelsmacker et al. (2018), provide valuable perspectives on the impact of various digital advertising formats, strategies, and their influence on consumer attitudes and behaviors.

Higher education institutions are increasingly relying on LinkedIn—a professional networking platform—to build alumni networks, disseminate career-related content, and attract students

interested in a career-oriented curriculum (Kent & Taylor, 2016). Twitter, famous for its real-time updates, is crucial for sharing news and event updates and engaging in quick conversations with the institution's surrounding community, according to Junco et al. (2010). The use of WhatsApp for one-on-one conversations is on the rise, especially in the context of recruiting international students (Kapoor, Konstan, and Terveen, 2015). Many people use WhatsApp for both one-on-one and group chats. The video-sharing website YouTube provides schools with a means to create engaging visual content. Interviews with teachers, student testimonials, and virtual tours of the school might all be part of this material. Potential students may have an immersive experience thanks to this (Huertas et al., 2016). Finally, email is still a vital tool for reaching out to specific audiences, sending newsletters, and maintaining one-on-one relationships with current and future students (Lambert, 2012). In order to meet the needs of various stakeholders in the higher education setting, educational institutions can take advantage of the many opportunities presented by the convergence of these platforms to communicate strategically, connect with their intended audiences, and showcase their unique identities.

The choice of a college is a pivotal decision for students, and digital marketing plays a significant role in influencing this decision-making process. Several key determinants have been identified in the literature that contribute to the effectiveness of digital marketing strategies in shaping students' choices of colleges.

- i. **Online Presence and Visibility:** A strong online presence is crucial for colleges to be visible to prospective students. Research by Huertas, Díaz, and Gutiérrez (2016) emphasizes the importance of a comprehensive online presence, including an engaging and informative website, active social media profiles, and other digital platforms. This visibility allows colleges to showcase their unique offerings and create a favorable impression among potential students.
- ii. **Social Media Engagement:** Social media platforms, such as Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter, play a pivotal role in engaging with the target audience. According to Junco et al. (2010), social media facilitates direct communication, community building, and the dissemination of real-time updates. Institutions with active and interactive social media profiles can effectively connect with students, providing insights into campus life, events, and academic achievements.
- iii. **Personalized Content:** Tailoring content to the interests and needs of prospective students is a key determinant of digital marketing success. Personalized content, such as virtual campus tours, faculty interviews, and student testimonials, can significantly impact students' perceptions (Huertas et al., 2016). This approach allows colleges to create a more personalized and immersive experience for potential students.
- iv. **Peer Influence and Reviews:** Social proof and peer influence play a crucial role in college decision-making. Prospective students often rely on reviews, testimonials, and insights from current or former students. Leveraging digital platforms for showcasing positive experiences, achievements, and testimonials can build trust and credibility, influencing the decision-making process (Pempek, Yermolayeva, & Calvert, 2009).

v. Search Engine Visibility and SEO: Ensuring high visibility in online searches is essential. According to Kaplan and Haenlein (2010), search engine optimization (SEO) strategies contribute to an institution's visibility in search results, making it more likely for students to discover and consider the college during their online research.

3 Conceptual framework

3.1 Digital Marketing and Online Content: The integration of digital marketing strategies and online content has become increasingly essential in higher education, shaping how institutions engage with prospective students. Digital marketing in higher education encompasses various online channels, aiming to establish a strong online presence and connect with a broader audience. Chen and Huang (2012) emphasize the importance of universities adopting digital marketing strategies to enhance competitiveness, emphasizing the role of digital channels in reaching tech-savvy prospective students.

i. E-Brochures and digital marketing: E-brochures play a crucial role in providing a digital representation of the college experience. Research by Dillard and Ogle (2019) highlights the effectiveness of visually appealing and interactive e-brochures in capturing the attention of prospective students, offering an immersive preview of campus life and academic offerings.

ii. Blogs and digital marketing: Blogs serve as dynamic platforms for storytelling and authentic engagement. Rumpf and Bickes (2018) emphasize the role of blogs in creating a sense of community and connection. Effective storytelling through blogs provides a personalized narrative, influencing prospective students' perceptions and decisions.

iii. E-mailers and digital marketing: E-mailers remain a staple in higher education marketing, offering a direct channel for personalized communication. A study by Zhao, Li, and Duan (2016) explores the impact of personalized email communication in the context of college admissions, highlighting the significance of tailored content in influencing students' decisions.

The effective integration of e-brochures, blogs, and emailers is crucial for a holistic digital marketing approach. Stroud (2017) advocates for a comprehensive content strategy that aligns these elements, ensuring a cohesive and engaging narrative that resonates with the diverse preferences of prospective students. In conclusion, the integration of e-brochures, blogs, and emailers offers institutions dynamic tools to engage with prospective students, shaping perceptions and influencing their decisions in the competitive landscape of higher education marketing. Therefore, leading to hypothesis

H1: Online Content is a significant determinant of digital marketing in context to influencing student college choice.

3.2 Digital Marketing and Social Media: Digital marketing, particularly through popular social media platforms like Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter, has emerged as a transformative force in higher education marketing. This literature review explores key studies that shed light on the impact and strategies associated with digital marketing on these platforms. The role of social media, including Facebook, in student recruitment has been a subject of extensive research. Kent and Taylor (2016) argue that platforms like Facebook provide universities with opportunities for

dialogic communication, fostering engagement and relationship-building with prospective students.

i. Instagram's Visual Appeal in Higher Education Marketing: Instagram's visual-centric nature has been explored in higher education marketing. Alpert (2017) discusses the impact of Instagram in providing a visually compelling representation of university life, effectively capturing the attention of potential students.

ii. Twitter as a Real-Time Engagement Tool: Twitter's real-time nature offers unique opportunities for universities. Junco et al. (2010) delve into the impact of Twitter on college student engagement, emphasizing its role in disseminating timely information, fostering immediate interactions, and influencing student engagement.

iii. Integrated Social Media Strategies: The integration of multiple social media platforms in higher education marketing is crucial. Huertas, Díaz, and Gutiérrez (2016) provide insights into the strategic use of Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter, emphasizing the need for an integrated approach to maximize the impact of social media in reaching and engaging diverse audiences.

The challenges and opportunities associated with social media marketing in higher education are examined by Kapoor, Konstan, and Terveen (2015). Their research provides insights into the complexities of using platforms like Facebook and Twitter, emphasizing the need for strategic planning and careful consideration of user behavior. In summary, these studies collectively highlight the diverse strategies and impacts of digital marketing through social media platforms like Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter in the context of higher education. As universities navigate the digital landscape, an understanding of the unique dynamics of each platform is crucial for effective engagement with prospective students. Thus, leads to hypothesis.

H2: Social Media platforms are significant determinants of digital marketing in context to influencing student college choice.

3.3 Digital Marketing and Website: Digital marketing strategies, when integrated into college websites, play a pivotal role in attracting and engaging prospective students. This literature review explores key studies examining the impact of website components, including virtual tours, student testimonials, and infographics, on the higher education marketing landscape.

i. Virtual Tours as Engaging Experiences: Virtual tours have emerged as powerful tools for providing an immersive experience of campus life. Chen and Huang (2012) assert that virtual tours contribute significantly to prospective students' engagement by offering a visual and interactive exploration of campus facilities, contributing to a positive perception of the institution.

ii. Impact of Student Testimonials: Student testimonials are influential components of college websites. Studies, such as the one by Pempek, Yermolayeva, and Calvert (2009), emphasize the impact of social networking experiences and testimonials, indicating that positive peer recommendations contribute significantly to building trust and credibility.

iii. **Infographics for Visual Communication:** Infographics are effective tools for visually communicating complex information. In higher education, incorporating infographics on websites can enhance communication. Al-Busaidi and Ayedh (2020) explore the impact of infographics, suggesting that their visual appeal can aid in conveying key information to potential students.

A comprehensive approach to website strategies is essential. Huertas, Díaz, and Gutiérrez (2016) advocate for integrating various elements like virtual tours, testimonials, and infographics, emphasizing the need for a holistic website strategy to maximize the impact on prospective students. The interactivity and user experience of college websites are crucial for engagement. Baker, Berry, and Domenico (2016) discuss the importance of interactivity, indicating that dynamic and interactive elements on websites, such as virtual tours and multimedia content, positively impact the overall user experience.

In summary, the literature highlights the significance of incorporating digital marketing elements, including virtual tours, student testimonials, and infographics, into higher education websites. These components contribute to creating engaging and informative online experiences, influencing prospective students' perceptions and decisions. Therefore, suggesting hypothesis

H3: Website is significant determinant of digital marketing in context to influencing student college choice.

4. Research Methodology

The study is characterized by its exploratory and descriptive nature, using cross-sectional data obtained via structured questionnaires. These surveys were suitably administered and validated using SPSS and AMOS. The theoretical framework was constructed by analyzing secondary data from research papers and articles published in indexed journals. Primary data was then collected to statistically examine the suggested model. The primary dataset consisted of 267 full-time secondary school students who were seeking admission to further education at educational institutions located in Delhi/NCR. Delhi-NCR exhibits a wide range of cultural, religious, and ethnic diversity. The National Capital Region has emerged as a prominent center for education, hosting over 3000 educational institutions. Approximately 10 percent of the leading management education institutions in India are situated in the Delhi-NCR region. Of the total, 10 percent is allocated to management bodies, with 90 percent being overseen by private entities, around 9 percent by the government, and the remaining 1 percent jointly regulated by public and private agencies. Therefore, Delhi-NCR was selected as the main site for gathering data (Edusha Eduversity, 2021; Shiksha.com, 2023). The sample strategies used were snowball sampling and purposive sampling, both of which are non-probability methods. 300 questionnaires were sent, however only 289 were returned within the specified timeframe. Out of these, only 267 completed replies were deemed suitable for further study. The study examined the relationship between social media independence and various factors such as the perceived usefulness and ease of use of social media, behavioral intentions to take admission, and actual admissions. The data collecting process included using a questionnaire that was modified to include a total of 16 questions (Gagnon, 2012).

These items were rated by the students on a Likert 5-point scale, ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree. Additionally, the questionnaire also gathered demographic information, namely the gender of the students. The data was analysed by using SPSS -26 and AMOS 21

5. Findings

a) Data screening: Primary data collected for the study were screened for variables considered for research and cases collected. Case screening was done and found no missing value in rows, along with no unengaged responses based on standard deviation. Data was free from outliers. Variable Screening was done and found no missing value in Columns.

b) Descriptive Analysis

The descriptive details of the faculties are reported in Table 1, and it was observed that the majority of the students were females (76.0%) and Male students were 24.0 percent. Table 1 Sample demographics (N=267).

Table 1 Sample demographics (N=267)

Gender	Frequency	Percent
Male	68	25.0
Female	199	75.0
Total	267	100

Source: Author

c) Construct reliability & Exploratory factor analysis (E.F.A.)

For Likert- types scales, it is necessary to calculate the Cronbach's alpha for reliability and consistency (Joseph et al., 2003). The Cronbach's alpha for the complete scale was 0.801, which is above 0.70 (George and Mallery, 2003) means a high level of internal consistency for the scale. Exploratory factor analysis (E.F.A.) of complete-scale shows that Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy is .814 and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity, $\alpha=.000$, which is $<.005$. Chi-Square = (435)

=10405.834, $p<.005$. The value of communalities ranges in between .672 to .993. 10 components with eigenvalue >1 isolated explaining 81.80% of the total variance.

d) Measurement Model

The proposed measurement model was tested for two types of invariance tests; metric invariance and configural invariance. Results of the configural invariance test depicted an acceptable model fit with shows computation of degree of freedom, chi-square (99) =139.65, $p<.05$, GFI= .93; RMSR= .065; RMSEA = .043; Adjusted GFI= .921; CFI= .938 Parsimony GFI= .781; Parsimony CFI= .757; Parsimony Normed Fit Index = .694 all the values fall within threshold range hence this model was a good fit (Hair et al. 2010, Aggarwal., Dhaliwal & Nobi, 2018). Results also depicted that average variance explained (AVE=Digital Marketing=0.508) composite reliability (CR= Digital Marketing=0.838). The correlation coefficient for all the inter items corresponding to Content, Website, social media and Digital Marketing were $<.3$ (Hair et al.,

2010), suggesting low inter-variable correlation and establishment of discriminant validity. Whereas the regression weights of items were $>.5$ suggested presence of high interitem correlation; therefore, convergent validity was also established. Furthermore, the presence of configural invariance in the model was supported as across the subgroups, and all the factor loadings were statistically significant. Harman's single factor test was used to test the metric invariance. Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy is 0.801 and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity, $\alpha=.000$, which is $<.005$. Chi-Square (435) = 10405.834, $p<.005$. 1 component was isolated, explaining 17.79 percent of the total variance, which is less than the threshold value of 50 percent as suggested. Therefore, the results of configural invariance and metric invariance revealed that the proposed model is robust.

e) Structural Model

Results of the model fit of the structural model also depicted acceptable values with $\chi^2 = 102.362$, D.F. = 51, $p > 0.001$, CMIN/D.F. = $2.148 \leq 3$, GFI = $0.957 \geq 0.80$, CFI = $0.943 \geq 0.90$, RMR = $0.55 \leq 0.10$, AGFI = $0.902 \geq 0.80$ and RMSEA = $0.051 \leq 0.08$ (Aggarwal., Dhaliwal & Nobi, 2018). Linear Regression results in table 2 render the support for H1 as the Content that included E Brochure, Blogs, E-mailer keeps on improving it act as a significant indicator of digital marketing in terms of influencing student choice of the college. But results did not support for H2 which claimed that information on the social media sites is a significant parameter of digital marketing in terms of impacting student to choose college for higher education. The structural model also confirms the H3, which states that the websites also act as significant tool for digital marketing for colleges and impacts the admission of students in higher education.

Table 2 Results

Hypothesis	Relationships	Std. Beta	Std. Error	t	Sig.
H1	Content→ Digital Marketing	0.393	0.039	9.998	0
H2	Social Sites→Digital Marketing	-0.005	0.039	-0.126	0.9
H3	Website→Digital Marketing	0.281	0.039	7.145	0

6. Discussion

Analysis of online presence is a critical determinant influencing students' perceptions of colleges. A comprehensive and engaging online presence, including a user-friendly website and active participation in digital platforms, enhances a college's visibility and accessibility. Research by Huertas, Díaz, and Gutiérrez (2016) emphasizes the significance of a well-crafted online presence in creating a positive first impression and influencing students' perceptions of an institution's credibility and relevance. The role of social media engagement is pivotal in shaping opinions about colleges. Active and meaningful engagement on platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, and

Twitter allows colleges to connect directly with their audience. Junco et al. (2010) highlights the positive impact of social media engagement on student engagement and perceptions. Regular updates, interactive content, and direct communication contribute to a dynamic and positive image of the college in the digital space. The effectiveness of personalized content in digital campaigns is a significant determinant in attracting and retaining prospective students. Tailoring content to individual preferences, including virtual tours, faculty interviews, and student testimonials, creates a more engaging and personalized experience. Huertas et al. (2016) discuss the importance of personalized

content in providing an authentic and immersive representation of the college, influencing students' perceptions and decisions. The influence of websites in the digital realm is a noteworthy determinant impacting college choices. Pempek, Yermolayeva, and Calvert (2009) discuss how college students' social networking experiences on platforms like Facebook can serve as powerful channels for peer-to-peer recommendations. Positive testimonials and experiences shared by peers contribute to the social proof that influences prospective students. The impact of digital advertising on college choices is a critical determinant in the current landscape. Kaplan and Haenlein (2010) note the challenges and opportunities of social media in higher education, emphasizing the potential of digital advertising in reaching and influencing a wide audience. Strategic and targeted digital advertising campaigns contribute to increased awareness and consideration among prospective students.

7. Implications

The implications of digital marketing determinants on students' choice of college are profound, shaping how educational institutions are perceived and selected. Understanding these implications is crucial for higher education institutions aiming to strategically leverage digital marketing to attract and engage prospective students. Digital marketing determinants, such as a strong online presence and effective social media engagement, contribute to increased visibility and reach. Colleges that strategically utilize digital channels can extend their reach to a wider and more diverse audience of potential students. This expanded visibility enhances the likelihood of capturing the attention of individuals who might not have otherwise considered the institution. Digital marketing plays a pivotal role in shaping perceptions and building a positive brand image. Institutions that effectively utilize personalized content and engage in meaningful interactions on social media platforms can influence how they are perceived by prospective students. Consistent and positive digital messaging contributes to the formation of a strong and favorable brand image, making the college more appealing to its target audience. Digital marketing determinants facilitate improved engagement and interaction between colleges and prospective students. Through personalized content, interactive social media platforms, and targeted digital advertising, institutions can create a more engaging and immersive experience. This direct engagement helps build a sense of connection, providing students with valuable insights into campus life, academic offerings, and the overall student experience. Trust is a critical factor in students' decision-making processes. Digital marketing determinants, such as transparent online communication and the use

of authentic testimonials, contribute to building trust and credibility. When prospective students encounter consistent and trustworthy information online, they are more likely to view the college as reliable and genuine, positively influencing their decision to consider and eventually choose the institution. Digital marketing allows colleges to gather and analyze data on student interactions and behaviors. This data-driven approach enables institutions to tailor their digital strategies based on real-time insights. Understanding how students engage with digital content provides valuable feedback for refining marketing campaigns, improving personalization, and addressing specific preferences. Ultimately, the effective implementation of digital marketing determinants can contribute to increased application and enrollment rates. By strategically influencing students throughout their decision-making journey, from awareness to application, colleges can convert digital engagement into tangible outcomes, resulting in a higher number of qualified applicants and enrolled students.

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, the impact of digital marketing determinants on students' choice of college is substantial and transformative. The dynamic landscape of digital marketing has redefined how higher education institutions connect with, engage, and ultimately influence prospective students. The strategic deployment of key determinants, including online presence, content personalization, social media engagement, trust-building, and data-driven decision-making, collectively shapes the decision-making journey of students. The enhanced visibility and reach afforded by digital marketing enable colleges to transcend geographical boundaries and connect with a broader and more diverse audience. This expanded reach is pivotal in capturing the attention of potential students who actively seek information and experiences online. As colleges strategically employ personalized content, they create a more engaging and immersive online experience, offering insights into campus life, academic offerings, and the overall student experience. Moreover, the influence of digital marketing on perceptions and brand image is profound. Colleges that effectively communicate their unique value propositions and maintain a consistent and positive online presence are more likely to be perceived favorably. Building trust and credibility through transparent communication and authentic testimonials fosters a sense of reliability, influencing prospective students to view the institution as a trustworthy choice for their educational journey. The data-driven nature of digital marketing allows colleges to refine their strategies based on real-time insights into student behaviors and preferences. This adaptive approach ensures that institutions can tailor their digital campaigns to address specific needs and preferences, optimizing the overall effectiveness of their outreach efforts. Ultimately, the impact of digital marketing determinants extends beyond online engagement to tangible outcomes, such as increased application and enrollment rates. Colleges that strategically leverage these determinants throughout the student journey are better positioned to attract, engage, and convert prospective students into enrolled members of their academic community. As technology continues to evolve and digital platforms evolve, the role of digital marketing in shaping student choices will likely

become even more influential. Higher education institutions that remain agile, responsive, and innovative in their digital marketing strategies will be well-positioned to navigate the competitive landscape and connect with the next generation of students.

9. Limitations

While studying the impact of digital marketing determinants on students' choice of college provides valuable insights, it is essential to acknowledge the limitations inherent in such research endeavors. These limitations highlight areas where the study may fall short or where caution should be exercised in interpreting the findings. One significant limitation is the potential lack of generalizability. Findings from a specific study may not be universally applicable to all higher education institutions or diverse student populations. Variations in institutional characteristics, target demographics, and regional differences can affect the generalizability of the results. The field of digital marketing is dynamic, with platforms and strategies evolving rapidly. The study's findings may become outdated quickly, especially if the research is conducted over an extended period. Changes in technology, algorithms, or societal trends can impact the relevance and effectiveness of digital marketing determinants. Relying solely on a single research method, such as surveys or analytics data, may limit the depth of understanding. Combining multiple methods, such as surveys complemented by interviews or observational data, could provide a more comprehensive perspective.

References:

- Al-Busaidi, K. A., & Ayedh, A. I. (2020). The impact of infographics on the academic achievement of university students: An experimental study. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 15(5), 45–60.
- Alpert, B. (2017). The impact of Instagram use on college student's life satisfaction. *Cyberpsychology, Behaviour and Social Networking*, 20(6), 349–355.
- Baker, C., Berry, A., & Domenico, M. D. (2016). A study of the factors influencing user perceptions in e-commerce usability. *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research*, 17 (2), 138–152.
- Chen, Y. J., & Huang, J. Y. (2012). Strategic planning of higher education institutions for the online market: A matrix approach based on a literature review. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 64, 305–314.
- De Pelsmacker, P., Dens, N., Delcour, H., & De Bens, E. (2018). Impact of digital marketing strategies on consumer behavior. In *Advertising in New Formats and Media* (pp. 81– 108). Springer.
- Dellarocas, C., Zhang, X., & Awad, N. F. (2007). Exploring the value of online product reviews in forecasting sales: The case of motion pictures. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 21(4), 23–45. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dir.20087>
- Dillard, J., & Ogle, T. (2019). E-brochure effectiveness in higher education marketing: A pilot study. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 29(1), 1–16.

- Haeussler, M., Schöning, K., Eckert, H., Eschstruth, A., Mianné, J., Renaud, J. B., Schneider-Maunoury, S., Shkumatava, A., Teboul, L., Kent, J., Joly, J. S., & Concordet, J. P. (2016). Evaluation of off-target and on-target scoring algorithms and integration into the guide RNA selection tool CRISPOR. *Genome Biology*, 17(1), 148.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1012-2>
- Hisel, J. D., & Pinion, Jr., C. (2020). Student recruitment and engagement in the COVID-19 era. *Journal of Environmental Health*, 83(2), 36–38.
- Hossler, D., & Gallagher, K. S. (1987). Studying student college choice: A three-phase model and the implications for policymakers. *College and University*, 62(3), 207–221.
- Hossler, D., Braxton, J., & Coopersmith, G. (1989). Understanding student college choice. In J. C. Smart (Ed.), *Higher education: Handbook of theory and research*, 5 (pp. 231–288). Agathon Press.
- Huertas, M. J., Díaz, J. M., & Gutiérrez, J. A. (2016). Social media in higher education: A literature review and research directions. In *European Conference on social media (ECSM)* (p. 163). Academic Press Conferences International Limited.
- Hulland, J. (1999). Use of partial least squares (PLS) in strategic management research: A review of four recent studies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20(2), 195–204.
[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199902\)20:2<195::AID-SMJ13>3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199902)20:2<195::AID-SMJ13>3.0.CO;2-7)
- Jambodekar, M. V. (1996). Marketing strategies of mutual funds-Current practices and future directions.
- Jamil, J. M., Rusle, R., Zolkipli, M. F., & Shahrane, I. N. M. (2021). Perceived usefulness of Instagram as a marketing tool in higher education institutions: Persepsi Kegunaan Instagram sebagai Alat Pemasaran di institusi pengajian Tinggi. *Journal of Information and Communication Technology in Education*, 8(1), 104–113.
- Jani, D. J., Patel, B. A., & Jain, R. V. (2012). Consumer's Perception towards Mutual Funds as an Investment Option specially focused on Valsad City Located in Gujarat. *International Journal of Business Management & Research*, 2(4), 59–66.
- John, E. P. S., & Noell, J. (1989). The effects of student financial aid on access to higher education: An analysis of progress with special consideration of minority enrollment. *Research in Higher Education*, 30(6), 563–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00992391>
- Johnston, T. C. (2010). Who and what influences choice of university? Student and university perceptions. *American Journal of Business Education*, 3(10), 15–24. <http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ.https://doi.org/10.19030/ajbe.v3i10.484>
- Joly, K. (2016, September). Survey provides insight into how prospects want to be reached. <http://ezproxy.latech.edu:3118/eds/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?sid=8b5e3a6f-2f74-4f53-a0a9-427ebc94e6a2%40sessionmgrl03&vid=2&hid=122>. University business. Meeting new students' digital expectations, 1 p. 23.
- Jöreskog, K. G. (1971). Simultaneous factor analysis in several populations. *Psychometrika*, 36(4), 409–426. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02291366>

- Josefsson, P., Hrastinski, S., Pargman, D., & Pargman, T. C. (2016). The student, the private and the professional role: Students' social media use. *Education and Information Technologies*, 21(6), 1583–1594. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-015-9403-7>
- Junco, R., Heiberger, G., & Loken, E. (2011). The effect of Twitter on college student engagement and grades. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 27(2), 119–132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2010.00387.x>
- Kainde, S. J., & Mandagi, D. W. (2023). From likes to loyalty: The interplay of social media marketing in shaping education institution brand attitude and loyalty. *Journal Ekonomi*, 12(02), 465–475.
- Kaiser, C., & Bodendorf, F. (2012). Mining consumer dialog in online forums. *Internet Research*, 22(3), 275–297. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10662241211235653>
- Kalenskaya, N. V. (2015). Marketing strategy of higher education institutions. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(1 Suppl. 3), 146. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n1s3p146>
- Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of Social Media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003>
- Kapoor, A., Konstan, J., & Terveen, L. (2015). The effect of social influence on user behavior in a recommender system. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems* (pp. 145–152).
- Kent, M. L., & Taylor, M. (2016). Building dialogic relationships through social media: A case study of a university Facebook page. *Public Relations Review*, 42(1), 20–30.
- Kim, J. K., & Gasman, M. (2011). In search of a “Good College”: Decisions and Determinations Behind Asian American Students' College Choice. *Journal of College Student Development*, 52(6), 706–728. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2011.0073>
- Kim, K. S., & Sin, S. J. (2016). Use and evaluation of information from social media in the academic context: Analysis of gap between students and librarians. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 42(1), 74–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2015.11.001>
- Kim, K. S., Yoo-Lee, E., & Joanna Sin, S. C. (2011). Social media as information source: Undergraduates' use and evaluation behavior. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 48(1), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1002/meet.2011.14504801283>
- Kisiołek, A., Karyy, O., & Halkiv, L. (2021). Social media in marketing management of higher education institutions in the context of Poland and Ukraine. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 24(1), 164–182. <https://doi.org/10.17512/pjms.2021.24.1.10>
- Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling* (3rd ed). Guilford Press.
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30(3), 607–610. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001316447003000308>

- Kreutzer, R. T., & Hinz, J. (2010). [Working paper]-(No. 58). Possibilities and Limitations of Social Media Marketing.
- Krishen, A. S., Dwivedi, Y. K., Bindu, N., & Kumar, K. S. (2021). A broad overview of interactive digital marketing: A bibliometric network analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 131, 183–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.03.061>
- Krishnamoorthy, A., & Srimathi, H. (2019). Technology enabled admission process in Indian higher education. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Volume*, 8(1).
- Kucharski, M., Szopa, R., & Halemba, P. (2017). Marketing determinants of choosing a field of study. *Marketing of Scientific and Research Institutions*, 3(25), 41–57.
- Lambert, S. R. (2012). Investigating the use of social media in the nonprofit sector. *Public Relations Review*, 38(3), 466–470.
- Lenard, M. J., Akhter, S. H., & Alam, P. (2003). Mapping mutual fund investor characteristics and modeling switching behavior. *Financial Services Review*.
- Li, H., & Kannan, P. K. (2014). Attributing conversions in a multichannel online marketing environment: An empirical model and a field experiment. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 51(1)*(4), 387–402. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.13.0050>
- Lohmöller, J. B., & Lohmöller, J. B. (1989). Predictive vs. structural modeling: Pls vs. Ml. *Latent Variable Path Modeling with Partial Least Squares*, 199–226.
- López-Meri, A., Marcos-García, S., & Casero-Ripollés, A. (2017). What do politicians do on Twitter? Functions and communication strategies in the Spanish electoral campaign of 2016. *El Profesional de la Información*, 26(5), 795–804. <https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2017.sep.02>
- Lubbe, I., & Dundu, N. (2017). Factors that influence the millennial customer to engage with a Facebook page: A case-study of a selected university in South Africa. Conference paper.
- Madhusudhan, M. (2012). Use of social networking sites by research scholars of the University of Delhi: A study. *International Information and Library Review*, 44(2), 100–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572317.2012.10762919>
- Mangold, W. G., & Faulds, D. J. (2009). Hybrid element of the promotion mix. **Business Horizons*, 52*(4) (pp. 357–365). The New Press.
- Mangold, W. G., & Faulds, D. J. (2009). Social media: The new hybrid element of the promotion mix. *Business Horizons*, 52(4), 357–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.03.002>
- Maresova, P., Hruska, J., & Kuca, K. (2020). Social media university branding. *Education Sciences*, 10(3), 74. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10030074>
- Martin, N. K., & Dixon, P. N. (1991). Factors influencing students' college choice. *Journal of College Student Development*.
- Martinez, R., Rogers, A. D., Numanoglu, A., & Rode, H. (2018). The value of WhatsApp communication in paediatric burn care. *Burns*, 44(4), 947–955. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2017.11.005>

- Mashiah, I. (2021). The relationship between public-relations and journalists in WhatsApp technology. *Public Relations Review*, 47(5), 102117.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2021.102117>
- Mattson, E., & Barnes, N. G. (2009). Social media and college admissions: The first longitudinal study. Retrieved July 11, 2010.
- McCay-Peet, L., & Quan-Haase, A. (2017). What is social media and what questions can social media research help us answer. *The SAGE handbook of social media research methods*, 13–26.
- McNicholas, C., & Marcella, R. (2022). An interactive decision-making model of international postgraduate student course choice. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 1–26.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08841241.2022.2076276>
- Pempek, T. A., Yermolayeva, Y. A., & Calvert, S. L. (2009). College students' social networking experiences on Facebook. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 30(3), 227–238.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2008.12.010>
- Pomerantz, J., & Hank, C. (2017). Social media policies in higher education. **Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 29*(1), 142–162.
- Rumpf, C., & Bickes, P. (2018). Blogging in higher education marketing: A study of the admissions blogs of the top 30 national universities. **International Journal of Educational Advancement*, 14*(2), 124–140.
- Seemiller, C., & Grace, M. (2016). Generation Z: Educating and engaging the next generation of students. *About Campus*, 21(3), 21–26.
- Smith, A. N., & Chaffey, D. (2013). *Digital marketing excellence: Planning, optimizing, and integrating online marketing*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Stroud, S. R. (2017). Digital storytelling in higher education: A case study of university recruitment via social media. **New Media & Society*, 19*(5), 824–839.
- Veletsianos, G. (2012). Higher education scholars' participation and practices on Twitter. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 28(4), 336–349. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2011.00449.x>
- Zhao, X., Li, Y., & Duan, W. (2016). Influence of personalized email communication on prospective college students' decisions. **Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 26*(2), 227–246.